

IN THIS SECTION

- Background
- Marine atmospheric environment
- Marine acoustic environment
- Marine sediment and water quality
- Marine vegetation
- Marine invertebrates
- Marine fish
- Marine fisheries
- Marine birds
- Marine mammals
- Marine ecological risk
- Marine Aboriginal traditional knowledge and land use
- Non-traditional marine use
- Marine socio-economic
- Human health

4 ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

BACKGROUND

The marine and human environment

In seeking to understand and address the project's potential effects on the marine and human environment, Northern Gateway considered feedback from its consultation process to determine what the Environmental and Socio-economic Assessment (ESA) should address.

For the Kitimat terminal, 14 topics were assessed, and the information gathered to date is presented here in chart form. As additional topics are identified during the ongoing environmental review and Aboriginal and stakeholder engagement process, they will be addressed in a potential supplemental filing.

For the routine activities associated with the project, each chart covers the following:

- Geographic and time boundaries set for the assessment
- Physical works and activities considered in the study (Note: see Figure 3.3 in *section 3, Project description*)
- Study methods used in the assessment
- Values and resources identified as being of greatest importance to regulators, Aboriginal people and stakeholders. These values and resources are referred to as Valued Environmental Components (VECs)
- Key issues related to the project that were identified through consultation with regulators, Aboriginal people and stakeholders

- Key Indicator Resources (KIRs) – species, groups of species, resources or ecosystem functions that represent components of the broader valued environmental components
- Baseline results determined from past scientific studies and surveys, Aboriginal traditional knowledge and field studies conducted specifically for the project
- Measurable parameters that were selected to provide a way of determining the level or amount of change to a VEC or KIR
- Potential project effects on the VECs – how the project's activities and actions might potentially result in an environmental effect
- Proposed mitigation – means to ensure that environmental effects are reduced as much as possible
- Residual effects – the remaining environmental effects after all mitigation and environmental management measures have been applied
- Cumulative effects – the potential to act in concert with similar environmental effects from other past, present and reasonably foreseeable projects and human activities are assessed after the residual project effects have been described and assessed

Please note that the assessment of spill prevention and response is discussed in *section 3, Project description*.



ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

Valued environmental components: The criteria for selecting VECs includes:

- Were they identified in the draft terms of reference for the Joint Panel Review?
- Do they represent a broad environmental, ecological or human environment component that may be affected by the project?
- Are they vulnerable to the environmental effects of the project and other activities in the region?
- Have they been identified as important issues or concerns by regulators, Aboriginal people or stakeholders or in other assessments of the region?

VECs for the marine environment include aspects such as sediment and water quality, marine fish, marine mammals and various fisheries that might be altered by the project. They are widely recognized as important for ecological reasons.

Representative VECs for the human environment include aspects such as the economy, employment and business, traditional land and resource use, non-traditional marine use, and communities and community life.

Geographic and time boundaries: Three geographic study areas have been used for the environmental assessment for the marine aspect of the project. They are:

- The Project Development Area (PDA) that will be directly affected by the actual footprint of the facilities and activities associated with the marine terminal.

- The project effects assessment area (PEAA) over which the direct and indirect environmental effects of the project can be measured or are expected to occur. For the marine terminal, the PEAA for most VECs included all of Kitimat Arm and, in some cases, the northern portion of Douglas Channel.
- The regional effect assessment area (REAA) is where effects of the project are likely to interact with similar effects from other human activities and projects. The REAA differs among VECs and even among different effects for a VEC.

For marine transportation, an additional geographic boundary has been defined, the Confined Channel Assessment Area (CCAA), which is the area where measurable environmental effects of shipping are viewed as most likely to occur. The CCAA includes the marine and shoreline area of Kitimat Arm, Douglas Channel to Caamaño Sound, and Principe Channel to Browning Entrance.

Time or temporal boundaries are based on when an effect could most likely occur during the project, such as the three phases of the project: construction, operations and decommissioning.

The environmental assessment equation

Finally, the following equation explains how all the components of the assessment relate to one another. The equation is the sum of our **baseline knowledge of environmental factors**, and our **assessment of a project's potential effects**, minus the **effects of mitigation and protection measures**. This set of knowledge is equal to the other side of the equation – namely the **project's residual effects** combined with the **cumulative effects of other activities on the environment**.

